

you, you say disregard the rule, you don't even have to suspend it, you don't have to address it, the Clerk can erase something off the bill, if I know that is what it is fine, then I can do that and I'll know in advance that this is how we function. I didn't know until today that it is unimportant to have any names as introducers. So maybe the rule ought to say that when a bill gets to Final Reading it can not carry the name of any person as an introducer, then you have done away with the problem that Senator DeCamp and others see of somebody taking their name off at the last minute. What the procedure is going to be is what I think is significant. The rest of my time I would like to give to Senator Hannibal because he did not get to speak.

PRESIDENT: Senator Hannibal, you may take up Senator Chambers time.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I don't want to belabor this and I am new here and I don't pretend at all to know these rules. But I do think I see some inconsistencies here. I think it is important for me to say something even though I can't deal with a lot of experience. We had an acknowledgement from the Chair that in fact the bill on the desk, that it is going to be read, was not the same in our book, it appears that it is a very clear violation of the rules. I think that if we are going to operate this thing properly we should have had a suspension of the rules. I don't think there are too many people in here that would argue that as opposed to having the Chair rule. I see some inconsistency because you say practicality, Senator DeCamp talked about practicality because Senator Chambers put this in as just strictly one of those unanimous consent types of things and so practicality say we shouldn't worry about that because the intent is done. But I would like to remind this body that when 363 came on this board a few days ago, it was done in what I would say less than due process when we had a motion from the Speaker or a read in from the Speaker being directed to bring this thing up and no objection so ordered and all of a sudden we had 363 in front of us after a lunch hour and we started to say "Wait a minute, how is this ~~here~~?" and he said, "Didn't you hear? We just put it in the record", I think it is important that this body realize that there is some inconsistencies here and I'm starting to have an awful lot of empathy for what Senator Chambers is saying.

PRESIDENT: Motion is to return LB 363 to Enrollment and Review. All those in favor vote aye, opposed no. Please